

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
8

9 ROY D. MORAGA,

10 Petitioner,

11 vs.

12 E. K. McDANIEL, *et al.*,

13 Respondents.  
14

)  
)  
) 3:03-cv-00220-LRH-RAM  
)  
)  
)

**ORDER**

15 Petitioner, through counsel, has filed a second motion for an enlargement of time to file a  
16 reply to respondents' answer. (Docket #71). Petitioner seeks a 60-day enlargement of time, up to  
17 and including May 30, 2008, in which to file a reply. Having reviewed the motion and good cause  
18 appearing, petitioner's unopposed motion is granted.

19 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for an enlargement of time to file  
20 a reply (Docket #71) is **GRANTED**. The reply shall be filed on or before **May 30, 2008**.

21 DATED this 31<sup>st</sup> day of March, 2008.

22  
23 

24  
25 

---

LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
26  
27  
28